

**A Log-Periodic Focal-Plane Architecture for Cosmic Microwave Background
Polarimetry**

by

Roger Christopher O'Brient

B.S. (California Institute of Technology) 2001
M.A. (University of California at Berkeley) 2004

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Physics

in the

GRADUATE DIVISION

of the

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

Committee in charge:

Professor Adrian T. Lee, Chair
Professor Martin White
Professor William Welch

Fall 2010

The dissertation of Roger Christopher O'Brient is approved.

Chair

Date

Date

Date

University of California, Berkeley

Aug 2010

A Log-Periodic Focal-Plane Architecture for Cosmic Microwave Background
Polarimetry

Copyright © 2010

by

Roger Christopher O'Brient

Abstract

A Log-Periodic Focal-Plane Architecture for Cosmic Microwave Background Polarimetry

by

Roger Christopher O'Brient

Doctor of Philosophy in Physics

University of California, Berkeley

Professor Adrian T. Lee, Chair

We describe the design, fabrication, and laboratory-demonstration of a novel dual-polarized multichroic antenna-coupled Transition Edge Sensor (TES) bolometer. Each pixel separates the incident millimeter radiation into two linear polarization channels as well as several frequency channels (bands). This technology enables us to realize bolometer arrays for Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) polarimetry measurements that map the sky at multiple colors while simultaneously boosting the optical throughput over what would have been attained from arrays of single-frequency channel detectors. Observations at multiple frequency channels are important for differentiating polarized galactic foregrounds and atmospheric fluctuations from the CMB.

Each pixel couples free-traveling radiation onto lithographed microstrip transmission lines prior to the bolometers using a dual-polarized broadband antenna known as a sinuous antenna. The transmission lines are integrated onto the back of the antenna arms and the antennas are in direct contact with an extended-hemispherical lens. We show measurements of scale model (4-12GHz) and to-scale (80-240Hz) antennas to demonstrate high antenna-gain, low cross-polarization contamination, and efficient coupling over a 1-2 octave bandwidth.

We have developed microstrip circuits that divide the antenna's wide bandwidth into smaller channels. In one scheme, two or three frequency channels can be extracted from the antenna's received power using microstrip circuits known as diplexers and triplexers. These avoid atmospheric spectral lines and are well suited to terrestrial observations. We can also partition this bandwidth into contiguous bands using cochlear channelizers inspired by the physiology of the human ear; this design is most advantageous for satellite missions where there are no concerns about atmospheric contamination. We present design methodologies for these circuits and show measurements of prototypes coupled to TES bolometers to verify acceptable performance. We also describe the fabrication of a broadband anti-reflection coating for the contacting lenses and demonstrate that lens-coupled sinuous pixels receive more power with the coatings than without. Finally, we remark on the last un-resolved challenge of forming symmetric beams and balun designs that may help form patterns more useful for polarimetry.

This technology is a candidate for use in the Polarbear ground-based experiment. By packing more detectors into the focal-plane than can be done with monochromatic pixels, multichroic pixels will allow Polarbear to map the sky much faster. This technology is also candidate for future space-based missions as well, where multichroic pixels will allow a less massive payload and hence a lower cost mission. Finally, we envision using arrays of similar pixels in sub-millimeter observations of high-redshift galaxy clusters as well (e.g. example Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect measurements). However, we require more sophisticated lithography and etching techniques to shrink these pixels to a size suitable for such wavelengths.

Professor Adrian T. Lee
Dissertation Committee Chair

In memory of Huan Tran, who provided crucial early leadership on this project and dragged me out of complacency on a number of occasions. He missed seeing the final results from these efforts by a few weeks; I think he would have gotten a kick out of them.

Contents

Contents	ii
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	xv
Acknowledgements	xvi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 History	2
1.3 Motivation for Multi-color detectors	5
1.4 Outline	6
2 Cosmological Motivation	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Cosmological Expansion	8
2.3 Inflation	12
2.4 Inflation as a Source of Structure	16
2.5 Temperature Anisotropies in the Microwave Background	19
2.6 Parameters constrained by Temperature Anisotropies	21
2.6.1 Curvature	22
2.6.2 Baryon Density	23
2.6.3 Matter Density	24
2.6.4 Scalar Spectral Index	24
2.7 E mode Polarization Anisotropies	25

2.8	B mode Polarization Anisotropies	29
2.9	Gravitational Lensing	31
2.10	Polarized Galactic Foregrounds	34
2.10.1	Synchrotron Emission	35
2.10.2	Thermal Dust Emission	35
2.11	Conclusions	36
3	Transition Edge Sensor Bolometers	38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Quantum Mechanics of Detection	39
3.3	Competing Technologies to TES Bolometers	40
3.3.1	Coherent Receivers	40
3.3.2	NTD-Ge Bolometers	41
3.4	TES Bolometers and Electrothermal Feedback	44
3.5	Fabrication	48
3.6	Bolometer stability and readout electronics	53
3.7	Conclusions	56
4	Contacting lenses for Antenna-coupled bolometers	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Antenna coupling vs traditional coupling schemes	59
4.2.1	Horn-Coupled Bolometers	59
4.2.2	NIST's Horn-couped Bolometers	59
4.2.3	Caltech/JPL's Array-coupled bolometers	60
4.3	Crossed Double-Slot Antenna	60
4.3.1	Impedance	60
4.3.2	Beam Characteristics	63
4.3.3	Backlobe Power	63
4.4	Lens Coupling	65
4.5	Raytracing Script	67
4.5.1	Refraction at the lens surface	69
4.5.2	Anti-reflection Coating	70
4.5.3	Deviations from an ideal anti-reflection coating	71
4.5.4	Diffraction through the lens	74

4.6	Comparison to HFSS simulations	76
4.7	Conclusions	80
5	The Sinuous Antenna	81
5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Log-Periodic Antennas	82
5.3	Polarization Wobble	84
5.4	The Sinuous Antenna	85
5.5	Driving a planar sinuous antenna	88
5.6	The Sinuous Antenna's Input Impedance	88
5.7	Sinuous Beam Patterns	94
5.8	Conclusions	98
6	Sinuous Antenna Coupled TES bolometers	100
6.1	Introduction	100
6.2	Microwave design considerations for the Prototype Chips	101
6.2.1	Microstrip transmission lines	101
6.2.2	Quarter-wavelength shorted stub filters	107
6.2.3	Differentially feeding a load-resistor	110
6.3	Optical Test Cryostat	112
6.3.1	Dewar Cryogenics	112
6.3.2	Optical Filter Stack	113
6.4	Spectral Response	114
6.5	Beam Patterns	119
6.6	Cross-polarization Rejection	121
6.7	Conclusions	122
7	Diplexer and Triplexer Circuits	124
7.1	Introduction	124
7.2	Filter Manifolds vs Autocorrelation	125
7.3	Terrestrial Measurements	128
7.4	Diplexer Circuits	130
7.4.1	Prototype pixel design	131
7.4.2	Diplexer Spectroscopy	132

7.5	Triplexers with broad-band anti-reflection coated lens	133
7.6	Beams of single-ended feed Sinuous	136
7.7	Conclusions	139
8	A Log-periodic Channelizer inspired by the Mammilian Cochlea	141
8.1	Introduction	141
8.2	A cochlear channelizer	142
8.3	Three-pole channelizer circuits	146
8.4	Millimeter-Wavelength channelizers	149
8.4.1	Short Transmission Lines	150
8.4.2	Trunk-line Inductors	151
8.4.3	Resonator Inductors	151
8.4.4	Capacitive Pi-networks	152
8.4.5	Matching shunt capacitors	153
8.5	Measurements of a sinuous antenna coupled to TES bolometers through a cochlea circuit	154
8.6	A simultaneous measurement of oxide permittivity and surface inductance .	156
8.7	Conclusions	160
9	Conclusions and Future Work	162
9.1	Introduction	162
9.2	Status of work	162
9.3	Correcting the beam asymmetries with a balun	163
9.3.1	A Dyson balun	163
9.3.2	CPW to reject an even-mode	164
9.3.3	Differentially-fed terminations	167
9.4	Comparison of Log-periodic detectors to competing technology	168
9.4.1	Relative Mapping speeds	168
9.4.2	Proposed Focal-plane design	169
9.4.3	Application in a Satellite Mission	171
9.5	Future Work	172
	Bibliography	174
	A MATLAB raytracing software	182

A.1	Overview	182
A.2	Main script	182
A.3	Construct Lens Geometry	185
A.4	Construct Fields just inside lens	186
A.5	File reading & writing	187
A.6	Convert to & from spherical coordinates	189
A.7	Convert to & from POI coordinates	189
A.8	Refraction	191
A.9	diffraction	195

List of Figures

1.1	NEP of Bolometric detectors vs publication date. NEP is defined as the source power that a detector would have to look at to attain a signal to noise ratio of unity for a half second of integration time. Figure courtesy <i>Zmuidzinas</i> [2010].	3
2.1	Free Electron fraction vs redshift for Equilibrium (Saha) and Non-equilibrium (Boltzmann) models. This figure is the result from an exercise from <i>Dodelson</i> [2003].	11
2.2	Frequency Spectrum measured by FIRAS. The data error-bars are famously smaller than the thickness of the theoretical co-plotted line. Figure from <i>Fixsen et al.</i> [1996]	12
2.3	Cartoon showing how the Comoving Horizon (Hubble radius, Equation 2.4), pictorially shown by the green circles, shrinks between the Start and End of Inflation. Over the subsequent 13.7 Gyr, the our observable universe grows again, but not larger than the region of uniform temperature shown in yellow. This figure is adapted from a figure by Andrew Liddle (<i>Liddle</i> [1999]). . . .	15
2.4	Large Scale structure data from Sloan Digital Sky Survey as well as CMB data from WMAP, ACBAR, QUAD, and BICEP constrain $r < 0.17$ and $n = 0.926 \pm 0.026$ a 95% confidence. Confidence contours are co-plotted with different inflation models, where the labeled points refer to the number of e-foldings. These data already rule out a chaotic potential $\lambda\phi^4$ to high confidence. Figure from <i>Finelli et al.</i> [2010].	19
2.5	WMAP's full sky false color map of deviations in temperature from the 2.71K average. Figure from <i>Larson et al.</i> [2010a]	22
2.6	CMB Temperature Angular Power Spectrum of the full sky from seven years of WMAP data. This plots variance of spot temperature vs reciprocal of spot size Figure from <i>Larson et al.</i> [2010a].	23

2.7	A Cartoon illustrating how Thomson scattering can convert a quadrupolar temperature distribution into polarized signal. In this case, the hot regions (blue) on the left and right drive the electron in vertical oscillations harder than the cooler region (red) above and below drive horizontal oscillations, resulting in scattered radiation that is partially polarized in the vertical, as depicted in black This figure is adapted from one in <i>Hu and White</i> [1997].	25
2.8	Cartoon illustrating E-mode polarization from a single fourier mode of scalar perturbation. Cool regions are red, hot are blue and the blue arrows represent the strongest incident optical power onto the charged particle. The scattered light is partially polarized, and the wave of the strongest polarization is depicted in black. Note that this must be either parallel or perpendicular to the wavevector (green) and hence the temperature gradient.	27
2.9	E-mode Angular Power Spectra from several experiments. Figure from <i>Chiang et al.</i> [2010].	28
2.10	Cartoons illustrating E-mode and B-mode polarization from a single fourier modes of tensor perturbations (gravity waves). Cool regions are red, hot are blue. The axis with the highest amplitude of electron oscillation is denoted with the double-headed black arrows. This will be the plane of oscillation, projected onto the sky. Note that in 2.10(b), the polarization is at a 45° angle to the wavevector (in green) and hence temperature gradient. This Figure is adapted from one in <i>Kovac</i> [2004].	30
2.11	Simulated E-mode and B-mode angular power spectra. The lensing B-modes (see section 2.9) are separated from the primordial B-modes with $r = 0.1$ and $r = 10^{-3}$ possibilities. These were compiled with data available in 2006, displaying the 95% confidence intervals. Figure from <i>Lewis and Challinor</i> [2006].	32
2.12	Simulated angular power spectrum for the lensing deflection angle $C_\ell^{dd} \equiv \ell(\ell + 1)C_\ell^{phi}$. The top panel is simulated for $m_\nu = 0$ while the bottom shows deviations for $\Delta m_\nu = 0.1$ eV and $\Delta w = 0.2$. Figure from <i>Kaplinghat et al.</i> [2003]	33
2.13	Polarized Foreground emissions from WMAP. They are consistent with a synchrotron emissions model with $\beta \approx -3$ except for the two highest frequency channels that are best described with a thermal dust emission model. Note that the foreground contributions decrease off the galactic plane. Graphi from <i>Kogut et al.</i> [2007]	36
3.1	Resistance vs Temperature for a typical TES used in this thesis. This TES was unreleased, but was fabricated along-side fully functional bolometers. We monitored temperature with a Lakeshore GRT and measured the resistance with a four-point resistance bridge. For this film, $\alpha \approx 263$, $R_{normal} = 1.04\Omega$, and $T_c = 0.597K$	45

3.2	Arbitrarily normalized SQUID current vs time for a typical TES-bolometer. We biased the bolometers with the DC offset of an analog function generator carrying a small amplitude ($2 \mu V$) square-wave. As the bias passes through the transition point at $12 \mu V$, the thermal time constant (Equation 3.10) drops dramatically. The red curve is well above the transition, the green is at the turn-around, and the purple is just above instability. The black dashed line guides the eye to the $1/e$ points.	47
3.3	Plot of SQUID current vs bias Voltage for a 220GHz Dark Bolometer. The resistive portion of the curve is 0.97Ω . This Bolometer was designed to receive 30% bandwidth at 220GHz.	49
3.4	Plot of Power dissipated in the bolometer from Figure 3.3 vs bias Voltage for a 220GHz Dark Bolometer. The saturation power in the strong electrothermal feedback regime is 276pW for this bolometer, so we had to use an optical attenuator to avoid saturation when looking at room-temperature.	49
3.5	Released TES bolometer. The out-of-focus regions are silicon several microns below the released bolometer.	50
3.6	SQUID readout electronics. The electronic to the left of the SQUID were made in Berkeley for our test-system. The warm electronics to the right of the SQUID use a lock-in amplifier and a feed-back loop to reduce noise and linearize the SQUID. The details of the warm circuitry are not shared by the manufacturer, Quantum Design Corp.	52
3.7	Circuit diagram the cold section of our electronics. The output V_{SQUID} is processed further by the electronics in the warm control circuit shown in Figure 3.6. We have inserted a hand-wound transformer, where the coil in DC contact with the bolometer has inductance L_P and the coil in DC contact with the SQUID pickup inductor has inductance L_S	55
4.1	Photograph of a Polarbear pixel. The antenna is the “tic-tac-toe” shaped slots in the ground plane at left that couple power to a microstrip transmission line. Similar Bolometers to this one are discussed at length in Chapter 3 and the filter is discussed in Chapter 6	61
4.2	ADS simulation of input impedance vs Frequency for the Crossed double-slot antenna. The vertical dashed lines show where the reflection between the antenna and a 26Ω transmission line rises above -10dB.	63
4.3	ADS simulation of the Crossed Double Slot antenna <i>without</i> a lens. The Solid contours are co-polarized power, the dashed are cross-polarized, and the power is on a linear scale normalized to the peak power on boresight.	64
4.4	Rays leaving the antenna at F_1 strike the lens surface at P and travel to points on the directrix plane Σ . If the eccentricity $\epsilon = 1/n$, then the refracted rays will be parallel to the optic axis.	65

4.5	The extended hemispherical lens has a radius R and extension L_{ext} . A ray leaving the antenna at θ' from boresight strikes the lens surface at location θ from boresight measured from the lens center. It is refracted into a ray that propagates at an angle Ψ relative to the optic axis.	67
4.6	Refraction angle Ψ (Equation 4.4) is plotted against angle of radiation measured at the antenna θ' (Equation 4.3) for different lens geometries. Note that an ellipsoidal lens would have $\Psi = 0$ for all θ' , so the synthesized ellipse $L_{ext}/R = 0.39$ is a good fit to this ideal	68
4.7	Cross sectional Cartoon of the lens with a single layer coating with an exaggerated thickness. The two reflected rays Ray 1 and Ray 2 must destructively interfere for the coating to work. However, the rays are not parallel; one is rotated with respect to the other by α . On boresight, Ray 2 will have a 90° phase shift relative to Ray 1 from it's extra travel of $2d$ through the film. But off boresight, Ray 2 travels an extra $2d(\sec \theta^t - 1)$ while Ray 1 travels an extra $t = 4d \tan \theta^t \sin \theta^t$	72
4.8	Ideal AR-coating thickness vs angle on the hemisphere. This would compensate for phase errors between the reflected beams off boresight. It is unlikely that we could actually make this compensation since the film thickness varies by less than 2 mils while a highly skilled machinist will often achieves 1 mil tolerances.	73
4.9	Boundary conditions for image current construction. Real and image currents are black vectors, green curves and arrows are electric fields \mathbf{E} , and orange curves and arrows are magnetic fields \mathbf{H} . The field contours are for far-field dipole radiation; any antenna's far-field is the superposition of these fields. The components of the fields at a point on the ground plane are broken into components parallel and perpendicular to ground to make clear how the choice of image current guarantees that the tangential electric fields and normal magnetic fields vanish there.	76
4.10	Layout for HFSS simulation. The yz-plane (facing the viewer) is a "Perfect-H" symmetry plane, while the xz-plane facing left is a "Perfect-E". All other external surfaces are perfect radiation absorbers.	77
4.11	Cuts of HFSS and ADS-lensed simulations. Solid and Dashed lines are co and cross polarized power from HFSS. Points marked 'o' and 'x' are co and cross polarized power from ADS and raytracing.	78
4.12	Contour plots of ADS simulation modified with the raytracing script (left) and from HFSS simulations (right). Solid lines are co-polarized power, dashed are cross-polarized, and the power is on a linear scale. They agree in many of their course features, but not in the fine details (See table). Notice that these are half as wide as the un-lensed beam in Figure 4.3.	79

5.1	Photograph showing the interior of an early Log-Periodic Antenna. The photo is roughly $100 \mu m$ on a side, but the entire antenna has a $\sim 3mm$ diameter. The plot at right shows simulated polarization tilt (blue, left axis) and Axial Ratio (dashed green, right axis) against frequency for for the antenna without the horizontal feeds. Even without the feeds, the polarization performance is poor.	83
5.2	Axial ratio and Polarization tilt defined. The tilt measures the angle of the semi-major axis to a reference line while the Axial Ratio AR is the ratio of field strength on the semi-minor and semi-major axes. AR=0 for linearly polarized fields while AR=1 for circularly polarized fields.	84
5.3	Photograph showing a 1-3GHz scale model Sinuous; the hex pattern on the metal is an artifact of the deposition process. The plot at right shows simulated polarization tilt (blue, left axis) and Axial Ratio (dashed green, right axis) against frequency, much improved over the other log-periodic antenna.	85
5.4	Nested Rings: The crossed double-slot antenna is similar to a single ring slot antenna. Nested rings (rust colored) with microstrips crossing into the interior do not work as a broadband antenna because the high-frequencies couple to the high-order modes of the outer rings instead of the fundamental modes of the interior. However, if we deform each quadrant of the rings into the curves shown in white dashed lines, then we open a continuous path of ground plane (green) for the microstrips to drive the antenna in the center.	87
5.5	Figure 5.5(a) is a two port spiral antenna, where gray is metal, white is slot. Electric and Magnetic fields are shown in blue and Red. Figure 5.5(b) is the compliment. Since the antenna is nearly self-complimentary, if it continued indefinitely, the impedances $Z = E/H$ and $Z' = E'/H'$ would be nearly equal, constant, and real	89
5.6	Photographs overlaid with effective circuits for the $H-V$ and $D_{\pm 45^\circ}$ excitations. Green is ground plane, pink is microstrip, and rust-color is the slot carved between the antenna arms. There is a virtual ground in the center of each antenna and the blue and red arrows represent the excited electric and magnetic currents that travel outward to a $\lambda/2$ section where they radiate. When driven in the H excitation as shown in Figure (a), the resistors to 2 and 4 carry no current, so we grayed them out. When driven in the D_{+45° excitation as shown in Figure (b), port 2 is at the same potential as 3 and 1 is at the same as 4, as shown in the dashed lines. As a result, magnetic currents do not flow down the slots between these. Is it genius, or the warped creation of a siphalic mind?	91
5.7	Figure 5.7(a) shows ADS simulations of input impedance of a sinuous on silicon with the $H-V$ feed. Results are similar for the $D_{\pm 45^\circ}$ excitation. Figure 5.7(b) shows how impedance properties change with the lens material. The right-most point is for silicon, the left is an antenna in free space. The dashed line is from Equation 5.11	93
5.8	Photographs of the 5-12GHz scale model antennas.	95

5.9	Measured beam-patterns on scale mode devices. Blue is E-plane and red is H-plane. The circular markers are co-polarized while the x-markers are cross-polarized. The solid and dashed curves are simulations for co- and cross-polarization. The last picture shows several H-plane cuts co-plotted. .	97
5.10	Gaussian Beam-waist vs Frequency. Solid lines are simulation	98
5.11	Polarization tilt τ vs frequency. The tilt oscillates $\pm 4^\circ$ every log-periodic scaling of frequency 1.3^2 . Thanks to Jen Edwards for providing this figure (<i>Edwards</i> [2008]).	99
6.1	(a) Photograph of a prototype sinuous antenna; one polarization is terminated, the other passes through identical bandpass filters before the bolometer (not pictured). (b) Zoom of the antenna interior. The $1\ \mu\text{m}$ microstrip alignment error in this picture was not present on the tested devices. . . .	101
6.2	Drawing of Microstrip (a). Nb is gray, SiO_2 is light blue. Electric fields are in solid blue, while magnetic fields are dashed red. They kink at the free-space/dielectric interface. At a slot (b), the E-fields “fold” across the slot leaving the magnetic fields normal. Power propagates down the slot away from the microstrip.	102
6.3	Circuit Models for transmission line section of length dz . When cooled below the conductor’s superconducting transition, the ohmic series resistance becomes inductive.	105
6.4	Generic 3-pole bandpass filter. At resonance, the series resonator conduct and parallel resonators to ground open.	108
6.5	Stub filter with shorts through the square vias at the ends.	110
6.6	Picture of an un-released bolometer shows the Resistive load next to the TES. There is an equivalent circuit above and as well as voltage wave amplitudes. Blue is for waves originating on the left, red from the right.	111
6.7	Stub filter with shorts through the square vias at the ends.	112
6.8	(a) Photograph of FTS Spectrometer used in these measurements, viewed from above. The dewar with the Device Under Test (DUT) is not visible. (b) Schematic of FTS optics.	115
6.9	Solid curves show measured spectra, the colored dashed lines show simulations of the filter with microstrip lines between antenna and bolometer load. The dashed line is the average transmission of the filter stack at 300K. The solid lines at the top depict the designed -3dB bandwidths.	117
6.10	Chopped thermal load used for pattern measurements. We extended the 300K surface defining the aperture with more eccosorb than shown to completely block the chopping wheel everywhere except the aperture.	120
6.11	Simulations and Measurements of Sinuous Beams	121
6.12	Power transmitted from a chopped thermal load on boresight to antenna through a polarizing grid. This antenna’s circuit filtered the 110GHz band; other devices with different filters had similar polarization response.	122

7.1	Schematic design of an autocorrelator in an antenna-coupled bolometer. In practice, we need several more bifurcations to Nyquist sample up to the lowest optical filter's cutoff.	125
7.2	Atmospheric Transmission for typical Precipitable Water Vapors (PWV). We have co-plotted a simulation of our Triplexer circuit to illustrate where observing bands can be placed between lines. Atmospheric Data from (<i>Lis</i> [2010]).	129
7.3	Dual Polarized Sinuous Antennas coupled to TES-Bolometers through Diplexers (a). The Antenna is 1.2mm in diameter and the interior feed (b) drives the H - V Excitation	130
7.4	150-220GHz Diplexer	131
7.5	Measured Spectra for the Diplexer circuits. The vertical axis is total receiver throughput. The black dashed line shows the atmosphere in arbitrary units and the horizontal lines show the designed -3dB bandwidth. We list the band-averaged throughputs for each channel as well.	133
7.6	Sinuous Antenna coupled to TES bolometers through two Triplexers.	134
7.7	3-layer Anti-reflection coating press	135
7.8	Triplexer Spectra. Vertical axis is total receiver throughput. The dashed line is 1mm pwv atmosphere, arbitrary units. Band Averaged throughputs are listed under spectra. 150B yielded no useful data because of a design flaw.	136
7.9	Simulations and Measurements of Triplexer device beams.	137
7.10	Optical response to the chopped load on boresight through a polarizing grid. Again, 150B yielded no useful data because of a design flaw.	139
8.1	The cochlea removes monotonically lower frequencies as an acoustic pulse travels deeper into the ear. The mechanical resonant frequency of each section is related to it's neighbors by a geometric scaling factor. It is a biological example of a log-periodic structure. Cartoon taken from <i>Doe</i> [2010].	142
8.2	The entire log-periodic pixel. Each polarization of the sinuous couples to TES bolometers (at bottom)through separate cochlear channelizers. The antenna is roughly 1.2mm in diameter. Figure from <i>Doe</i> [2010]	143
8.3	Single-pole channelizer	144
8.4	(a) An ideal 3-pole filter for the channelizer, (b) the same filter with the inner resonator inverted with a T-network, and (c) a tubular filter where pi-networks replace the T-networks.	147
8.5	Photograph of a tubular filter in the channelizer with effective circuit drawn above. For scale, we labeled the total width of the CPW.	149
8.6	A T-network for a short transmission line	150
8.7	Channelizer scattering parameters simulated in ADS	154

8.8	Cochlear Spectra measured with an FTS. Solid and dashed lines are the two polarization while the solid line above denotes the -3dB bandwidth. The band-averaged fractional throughputs are printed above the spectra.	154
8.9	Simulations and measurements of sinuous beams through the channelizer. .	157
8.10	Un-normalized χ^2 between measured bands at 150GHz and simulations with different values of oxide ϵ_r and Niobium L_s . The data show a preference for $\epsilon_r = 4.4$ and $L_s = 0.18$ pH/sq	159
8.11	Best fit models co-plotted worth the two types of filter. Recall that we adjusted the stub filter in the diplexers and triplexers (this one's from the 90-150 Diplexer) to retune the center band while we made no such adjustments to the channelizer filters.	160
9.1	Un-tested Slot-line balun that divides power from port 1 evenly between ports 2 and 3 with a 180° phase shift.	165
9.2	Simulated Scattering parameters of the proposed balun circuit with each port terminated with 38Ω . $ S_{12} $ and $ S_{21} $ are well matched, but the downward slope shows a slight radiation of about 3% at 225GHz. This needs to be reduced to less than 1% before the balun will be acceptable.	166
9.3	A balanced H-V feed where each arm will only see 52Ω relative to the virtual ground at center. One polarization is grayed out and the antenna current is shown in the blue arrows. This is photo-shopped for purposes of explanation - we have not fabricated it yet.	167
9.4	Array parameters relative to a $2f\lambda$ monochromatic array. Each channels' speed gets a boost of a factor of 2 (figure a) or 3 (figure b) because there are respectively 2 or 3 channels per pixel.	170
9.5	Array parameters relative to a $2f\lambda$ monochromatic array. Each channels' speed gets a boost of a factor of 7 because there are 7 channels per pixel. .	172

List of Tables

2.1	Parameters constrained from 7-year WMAP data set	24
3.1	Measured Thermal Characteristics of Ch 8 Bolometers	52
4.1	Antenna Beam Properties	79
6.1	Losses in the single filter sinuous devices	117
6.2	Beam Geometry	122
7.1	Optical Power Transmission through MF110	132
7.2	3-layer Antireflection Coating Designed Parameters	135
7.3	Throughput with and without the 3-layer coating	135
7.4	Beam Geometry	138
8.1	Resonator Component Target Values	148
8.2	Trunkline Component Target Values	149
8.3	Losses in the Channelizer Throughput	155
8.4	Optical Power Transmission through MF110 with the Log-periodic Channel- ized device	156
8.5	Losses in the Channelizer Throughput measurements	161

Acknowledgements

The research described in this thesis is the result of several peoples' ideas coming together, so this section is important to give credit where it is due.

I owe a deep thanks to my advisor Adrian Lee who has been incredibly patient and allowed me an unusual degree of freedom to pursue some pretty wild detectors ideas. He is also unique in our field right now by training students in both microwave design and clean-room fabrication; I was delighted to recently learn that these skills are in high demand. I also am in debt to Paul Richards who always made himself available when troubleshooting his renowned "Sewer-pipe" interferometer. His astonishingly methodical knowledge of obscure material properties was also invaluable. Thanks to Bill Holzapfel for loaning some old ACBAR optical filters that are important parts of Dewar 576's filter stack; there's no way I could have done these measurements without those. Finally, Greg Engargiola worked with me on simulations of the sinuous as well as designs for the CPW-balun that is outlined in Chapter 9.

My fellow graduate students and post-docs have had an enormous impact on this work as well. I'm damn near certain that at least half of the ideas in here were originally from Mike Myers. And while he was always two or three conceptual steps ahead of me, he was classy enough to simply make suggestions and let me work out many of the details. Sherry Cho and Jared Mehl trained me in the Berkeley Microlab and I worked along-side Eric Shirokoff and Kam Arnold when actually fabricating devices; we were frequently all-night-long microlab partners allowing all parties to make rapid progress. Trevor Lanting taught me much of what I know about cryogenics and provided useful guidance on how to modify Dewar 576 after he handed it off to me. Ziggy Kermish also provided the crucial suggestion of using copious amounts of porous teflon to make my filter stack work on it's first try; it's not supposed to be that easy. Erin Quealy has made phenomenal progress on anti-reflection coatings for our lenses and her pioneering measurements of TMM's optical properties were the foundation for our efforts on a broadband coating described in chapter 7. I didn't really make it work as well as I'd like, so there's plenty of room for her to improve. Finally, it's

been a pleasure training Aritoki Suzuki as my replacement; if I have nothing but graduate students like him someday, I'm sure my career will be smooth sailing. This project is left in competent hands.

For the past three years, this project has been a collaboration with Gabriel Rebeiz's group at UC San Diego's Electrical Engineering Department and his graduate students have been also been enormously helpful. Chris Galbraith shared his trade-secrets on tuning up his elegant cochlear channelizer described in chapter 8. Jen Edwards and Gabriel were the first to think clearly about the impedance of the antenna and to point out that it was not truly self-complimentary and that the excitations of interest are not eigenmodes. We also developed ray-tracing algorithms in parallel with San Deigo (see Chapter 4), but Jen made her's work first. She generously helped trouble-shoot my code and pointed out that we need to consider image currents through the ground-plane when doing the diffraction integrals. Subtle, eh?

I also owe a debt of gratitude to people outside my group that made life enjoyable when I wasn't hunkered down in the lab. This includes other graduate students in the department who I'd share a beer with on Friday afternoons, fellow residents of "Casa del Physics," "Salad House" and "Prospect House," and of course Anne Takazawa and Donna Sakima for helping guide me and many others through Berkeley's notorious red tape. My folks, who live just over the hills, were always happy to have me over for dinner to escape from Berkeley for a few hours.

Lastly, I have to thank my wife Abby. She's been helping me through over half of this and has put up with a lot of crap from me! This includes the evenings when I'd stay in the lab until 3-4 AM with my cell-phone off to minimize noise and rf-interference, as well as the days when I'd try to set an alarm at similar times so I could sneak back into the lab. It also includes the last few months of grad school when I stayed in Berkeley to finish measurements after she had already moved to Southern California. I could not have remained a functional human being while doing this without her at my side.

This work was supported by NASA grant NNG06GJ08G. All devices were fabricated in the Berkeley Microlab