

Physics 125a – Problem Set 2 – Due Oct 15, 2007  
Solutions

Jaewon Song, Sunil Golwala

Version 3: Oct 27, 2007

**v. 2:** Minor correction in (1d) to get normalization of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  correct.

**v. 3:** Errors in entries for unitary matrix  $U$  in Problem 4 corrected – miscopied the eigenvectors into the unitary matrix.

### Problem 1

(a) We require  $K^\dagger = K$  and derive the consequences:

$$K^\dagger = (|v\rangle\langle w|)^\dagger = |w\rangle\langle v| \quad (1)$$

If  $|v\rangle = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^t$ ,  $|w\rangle = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^t$ , then we have

$$K_{ij} = v_i w_j^* = (K^\dagger)_{ij} = w_i v_j^* \quad (2)$$

This can be rearranged to yield

$$\frac{v_i}{w_i} = \frac{v_j^*}{w_j^*} = \lambda \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is some constant. We see that  $\lambda$  must be real since taking complex conjugate does not change the equation. (note that  $i, j$  are completely arbitrary) Therefore,  $|v\rangle = \lambda|w\rangle$  where  $\lambda$  is a real number.

(b) Let's calculate  $K^2$ .

$$K^2 = |w\rangle\langle v|w\rangle\langle v| = \langle v|w\rangle|w\rangle\langle v| \quad (4)$$

In order to make this equal to  $K$ , we must have  $\langle v|w\rangle = 1$ .

(c) No, the conditions are not the same. If condition (a) is satisfied, we can still have  $\langle v|w\rangle \neq 1$ , because these vectors might not be normalized to have unit norm. Conversely, if  $\langle v|w\rangle = 1$ , we can still make  $|v\rangle \neq |w\rangle$  by choosing suitable norm of these vectors if they're not orthogonal. If one requires both conditions, then one obtains that  $|v\rangle = |w\rangle$  and  $\langle v|v\rangle = 1$ .

(d) Choose  $P_1 = |v\rangle\langle v|/|v|^2$ , and  $P_2 = |w\rangle\langle w|/|w|^2$ . Then we have

$$P_1 P_2 = \frac{1}{|v|^2 |w|^2} |v\rangle\langle v|w\rangle\langle w| = \lambda^{-1} K \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda = |v|^2 |w|^2 / \langle v|w\rangle$ .

## Problem 2

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

(a) To find know the eigenvalues of this matrix, we solve  $\det(\Omega - \omega I) = 0$  for  $\omega$ .

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} - \omega & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} - \omega \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$(1 - \omega) \left( \left( \frac{3}{2} - \omega \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) = (1 - \omega)(\omega - 1)(\omega - 2) = 0 \quad (8)$$

Thus, we have  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1; \omega_3 = 2$ .

(b) To find the eigenvector  $|\omega_3\rangle$  with eigenvalue  $\omega_3 = 2$ , we must solve

$$(\Omega - \omega_3 I)|\omega_3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (9)$$

We obtain  $x = 0, y + z = 0$ . Thus, the eigenvector can be written as

$$|\omega_3\rangle = N_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ -a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

where  $N_3$  is determined to make  $\langle \omega_3 | \omega_3 \rangle = 1$ .

(c)

$$(\Omega - \omega_1 I)|\omega_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (11)$$

Here, we find  $y = z$ , and  $x$  is arbitrary. Thus, the eigenvector is

$$|\omega_1\rangle = N_1 \begin{pmatrix} b \\ c \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + 2c^2}} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ c \\ -c \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

### Problem 3

- (a) Any Hermitian or unitary matrix can be diagonalized by a suitable unitary transformation. We did not really prove this explicitly for unitary matrices in the notes, but we did prove that the eigenvalues of a unitary matrix are all unit modulus and the eigenvectors form an orthonormal set, so it follows immediately that we can construct a unitary matrix  $U$  to diagonalize a unitary matrix  $\Omega$  from the eigenvectors of  $\Omega$  just as we did for Hermitian matrices. Thus we can write  $\Omega = U^\dagger D U$  where  $D$  is the diagonalized matrix of  $\Omega$ . Then,

$$\det\Omega = \det(U^\dagger D U) = \det U^\dagger \det D \det U = \det D = \prod_{i=1}^n \omega_i \quad (13)$$

since  $\det U = \det U^\dagger = 1$  and  $D = \text{diag}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ .

- (b) As (a), we can easily see

$$\text{tr}\Omega = \text{tr}(U^\dagger D U) = \text{tr}(U U^\dagger D) = \text{tr}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \quad (14)$$

using the cyclic property of the trace  $\text{tr}(ABC) = \text{tr}(CAB)$ .

## Problem 4

First, let's calculate the eigenvalues of both matrices.

$$\det(\Omega - \omega I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \omega & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\omega & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 - \omega \end{pmatrix} = \omega^2(2 - \omega) = 0 \quad (15)$$

therefore the eigenvalues of  $\Omega$  are 0, 2, and 0 and are degenerate.

$$\det(\Lambda - \lambda I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3) = 0 \quad (16)$$

Thus, we have eigenvalues  $-1, 2, 3$  for  $\Lambda$ .

To diagonalize these matrices, we need three orthonormal eigenvectors to form the unitary transformation matrix. Although you can form them by using Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process with  $\Omega$ , its much easier to get the unitary matrix through matrix  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, we must get simultaneous eigenvectors of  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$ . This is automatically guaranteed if we get non-degenerate eigenvectors. So let's calculate the eigenvectors of  $\Lambda$ . It can be easily shown that

$$|-1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad |2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad |3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

From this, we can construct the unitary matrix  $U$ .

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -2/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

And this gives

$$U^\dagger \Lambda U = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad U^\dagger \Omega U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

Both matrices are diagonalized by the unitary transformation  $U$ .

## Problem 5

Let's follow the procedure outlined in the text. First, let's write the equation of motion in matrix form.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2k/m & k/m \\ k/m & -2k/m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \Lambda|x\rangle \quad (20)$$

Here  $|x\rangle$  denotes  $(x_1, x_2)^t$ . Now we can diagonalize  $\Lambda$  and find eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors. The eigenvalues are  $-k/m, -3k/m$ , and eigenvectors are

$$|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad |2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

Thus, the unitary transformation matrix is given by

$$R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

The diagonalized form of equation of motion can be written as follows.

$$R|\ddot{x}\rangle = (R\Lambda R^\dagger)R|x\rangle \quad (23)$$

$$|\ddot{v}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega_2^2 \end{pmatrix} |v\rangle \quad (24)$$

where  $\omega_1^2 = k/m, \omega_2^2 = 3k/m$  and  $|v\rangle = R|x\rangle$ . Let  $|v\rangle = (v_1, v_2)^t$ . Then, we have equations for two simple harmonic oscillators with angular frequencies given by  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ .

$$\ddot{v}_1 = -\omega_1^2 v_1 \quad (25)$$

$$\ddot{v}_2 = -\omega_2^2 v_2 \quad (26)$$

This can be easily solved to find

$$v_1 = v_1(t=0) \cos \omega_1 t + \frac{\dot{v}_1(t=0)}{\omega_1} \sin \omega_1 t \quad (27)$$

$$v_2 = v_2(t=0) \cos \omega_2 t + \frac{\dot{v}_2(t=0)}{\omega_2} \sin \omega_2 t \quad (28)$$

which we can write along the lines of what was done in the notes as

$$|v(t)\rangle = \left[ \langle 1|y(t=0)\rangle \cos \omega_1 t + \frac{1}{\omega_1} \langle 1|\dot{y}(t=0)\rangle \sin \omega_1 t \right] |1\rangle \quad (29)$$

$$+ \left[ \langle 2|y(t=0)\rangle \cos \omega_2 t + \frac{1}{\omega_2} \langle 2|\dot{y}(t=0)\rangle \sin \omega_2 t \right] |2\rangle$$

$$= \left[ \cos \omega_1 t |1\rangle \langle 1| + \cos \omega_2 t |2\rangle \langle 2| \right] |v(t=0)\rangle \quad (30)$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_1} \sin \omega_1 t |1\rangle \langle 1| + \frac{1}{\omega_2} \sin \omega_2 t |2\rangle \langle 2| \right] |\dot{v}(t=0)\rangle \quad (31)$$

$$\equiv U_1(t)|v(t=0)\rangle + U_2(t)|\dot{v}(t=0)\rangle$$

which defines two unitary time evolution operators  $U_1(t)$  and  $U_2(t)$ . Now, we would like to obtain  $|x(t)\rangle$  in terms of  $|x(t=0)\rangle$  and  $|\dot{x}(t=0)\rangle$ , so we use our unitary transformation matrix:

$$|x(t)\rangle = R^\dagger |v(t)\rangle \quad |v(t=0)\rangle = R|x(t=0)\rangle \quad (32)$$

$$|\dot{x}(t)\rangle = R^\dagger |\dot{v}(t)\rangle \quad |\dot{v}(t=0)\rangle = R|\dot{x}(t=0)\rangle \quad (33)$$

(Note that  $R$  is not time-dependent!) This lets us rewrite

$$|x(t)\rangle = R^\dagger |v(t)\rangle \quad (34)$$

$$= R^\dagger U_1(t)|v(t=0)\rangle + R^\dagger U_2(t)|\dot{v}(t=0)\rangle \quad (35)$$

$$= R^\dagger U_1(t)R|x(t=0)\rangle + R^\dagger U_2(t)R|\dot{x}(t=0)\rangle \quad (36)$$

$$= R^\dagger \left[ \cos \omega_1 t |1\rangle\langle 1| + \cos \omega_2 t |2\rangle\langle 2| \right] R|x(t=0)\rangle \quad (37)$$

$$+ R^\dagger \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_1} \sin \omega_1 t |1\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{1}{\omega_2} \sin \omega_2 t |2\rangle\langle 2| \right] R|\dot{x}(t=0)\rangle \quad (38)$$

We may find  $|\dot{x}(t)\rangle$  by simply taking the time derivative, recognizing that  $R$  is constant:

$$|\dot{x}(t)\rangle = R^\dagger |\dot{v}(t)\rangle \quad (39)$$

$$= -R^\dagger \left[ \omega_1 \sin \omega_1 t |1\rangle\langle 1| + \omega_2 \sin \omega_2 t |2\rangle\langle 2| \right] R|x(t=0)\rangle \quad (40)$$

$$+ R^\dagger \left[ \cos \omega_1 t |1\rangle\langle 1| + \cos \omega_2 t |2\rangle\langle 2| \right] R|\dot{x}(t=0)\rangle \quad (41)$$

The reason that the example with the initial velocities set to zero is a better analogy to the Schrödinger Equation than the full example we just did is that Schrödinger Equation is a first-order differential equation, so there would be no terms including the initial velocities as we found above; Newton's second law is a second-order differential equation, so the full solution requires both initial positions and velocities.