#### **Historical Introduction to Radio Astronomy**

Robert L. Brown National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center

#### **Definitions: "Radio Astronomy"**



#### **Chronology of Radio Communication Technology**

- 1901
   Marconi—First transatlantic Radio Communicaton

   ▶
   Frequency < 100 kHz</td>

   ▶
   Limitations: Bandwidth, "atmospherics"

   1920
   "Shortwave"—intercontinental communication

   ▶
   Frequency 1.5 MHz

   ▶
   Technology: Vacuum triode tubes

   ▶
   Limitations: Bandwidth, "atmospherics"

   1007
   TP2

- 1927
   AT& Longwave transatlantic telephony (voice)

   Frequency 60 kHz
   Enabling Technology: Directional Antenna Design

   Limitations: Bandwidth, "atmospherics"

- Initiations: Stationary et anisopheries
   ATX Shortware transatlantic telephony (voice)
   Frequency: 9 21 MHz
   Enabling Technology: Quartz Crystal Oscillator
   Limitations: "Amospherics", RFI, Antenna Directionality
   1928 Karl Jansky joins AT&T Bell Labs

### **Karl Jansky** The Discovery of Cosmic Radio Emission

#### Karl Jansky The Discovery of Cosmic Radio Emission

#### Jansky's Task and Resources at Bell Labs

Task: Identify the optimum frequency and technology requirements for shortwave transatlantic communication

Resources:

- Modified "Bruce Array" of tuned, quarter-wave elements giving good directionality (wavelength 14.6m, frequency 20.5 MHz) ►
- Superheterodyne receiver stable enough to integrate for long times (30 sec) with a bandwidth of 26 kHz (BW/RF  $\sim0.1\%)$

Technique: Rotate antenna in azimuth every 20 minutes scanning the sky [From "The Early Years of Radio Astronomy", ed W. T. Sullivan III]





#### What Led to Jansky's Achievement?

- Ability to discriminate directionality (aka good "angular resolution")
- Large area sky survey
- Good survey sensitivity (the "best" receiver and detector)
- Repetition of observations over a long period of time (> 2 years)
- Conscientious attention to the need to understand subtle effects in the data

#### **Reaction of Astronomers and Engineers to Jansky's Achievement**

- Very limited, virtually ignored
- Jansky published in the Proc Inst Radio Engineers, not astronomy journals
- No real way to place in scientific context
- Observations not understandably quantitative
- Whipple and Greenstein (1937) speculated the emission came from warm, large (> 1 micron), dust grains

### Reaction of the Public to Jansky's Achievement

New York Times, May 5, 1933, Front Page: "New radio waves traced to center of the Milky Way...mysterious static reported by K. G. Jansky...recorded and tested for more than a year to identify it as from Earth's Galaxy...its intensity is low, only a sensitive receiver is able to register it"

"No evidence of interstellar signaling"

## <section-header>

#### Grote Reber The Birth of Radio Astronomy

- Professional, and extremely capable, radio engineer working for Collins Radio and living in Wheaton, Illinois.
- Avid radio amateur who read with keen interest Jansky's 1932 and 1933 papers and saw Jansky's work as a challenging opportunity for radio technology.
- ► Also aware of the Whipple and Greenstein interpretation of the origin of cosmic radio waves. (Proc Institute of Radio Engineers, 1958, vol 46, p15)

#### **Reber's Radio Telescope**

**Requirements:** 

- Telescope should be suitable for observations over a wide range of wavelengths in order to examine the spectrum of cosmic radio emission
- Good angular resolution is important for associating radio emission with known astronomical features/phenomena
- Visibility of as much of the sky as possible is important





#### Reber's Initial Experiments (1938): 3300 MHz (9 cm wavelength)

Choice of wavelength motivated by:

- Understanding that the intensity of thermal radiation (of dust grains in this case) in the long wavelength, Rayleigh-Jeans, part of the spectrum increased with decreasing wavelength, I ~  $\lambda^{-2}$
- Availability of the newly introduced RCA 103A magnetron and zinc sulfide crystal detector

#### Reber's Initial Experiments (1938): 3300 MHz (9 cm wavelength)

Limitations:

- Reber was working, independently, at frequencies greatly in excess of the state of the art in radio communications.
- Instrumentation was unstable, gain variations masked spatial (time) variation of the cosmic radio emission
- Sensitivity was adequate to detect the radio emission if its intensity  $I \sim \lambda^{-2}$  but inadequate otherwise. Thermal emission was excluded.

#### Second Generation of Experiments (1938/39): 33 cm Wavelength (910 MHz)

Decision to work at a lower frequency where conventional, commercial, triode tubes RCA 953 were available and could be used to significantly increase the sensitivity.

Actual sensitivity not well established. No detections made.

#### Third Generation of Experiments 1939-1945: 187 cm Wavelength (160 MHz)

- Understood that search at high frequencies was unproductive. Need now to sacrifice angular resolution for low frequency.
- Lower frequency again meant better sensitivity owing to availability of more mature radio communication technology
- To increase the sensitivity further, Reber elected to build a multistage amplifier with a wide instantaneous bandwidth (ultimately he achieved BW/RF ~ 5%).

#### Third Generation of Experiments 1939-1945: 187 cm Wavelength (160 MHz)

- Observed in an unattended mode day and night
- Daytime observations badly contaminated by RFI from automobiles and other sources from which Reber concluded that short sample times were necessary (so that the RFI could be removed).
- Great care given to instrument characterization to assure reliable data calibration using "home built" test equipment.
- Cosmic radio emission from the Milky Way was easily detectable and mapped. "These results confirmed Jansky in a general way".



#### Fourth Generation of Experiments 1946-1947: 62 cm Wavelength (480 MHz)

- ► 6-stage amplifier based on GE446B lighthouse triode tubes. Sensitive and stable.
- Quickly detected the Milky Way and the sun (J. P. Hey had detected during WWII but the information was classified).
- Milky Way emission showed considerable spatial structure (discriminated Cygnus A from Cygnus X, found Taurus A, Taurus A and Cas A).







#### Plans to Search for the Hydrogen 21-cm Spectral Line

- In 1945 Reber met with H. C. van de Hulst who encouraged Reber to search for the HI 21-cm spectral line. Van de Hulst could not reliably estimate the strength of the line, or even if the line would appear in absorption or emission.
- Reber accepted the challenge and built a 1200-1600 MHz signal generator and a bench-top 1420 MHz amplifier.
- ▶ The work was never completed. Reber left Wheaton, Illinois in 1947.

#### **Reaction of Astronomers and Engineers to Reber's Achievements**

- 1. Reber <u>did</u> publish, initially, in the astronomical literature (ApJ).
- His papers received an unusual refereeing process that included a "site visit" by the ApJ editor and others.
- 3. The astrophysical implications of his work were not immediately appreciated.
- 4. The field blossomed with the entry into the field of radar engineers experienced from WWII.

### What Led to Reber's Achievements?

- Ability to discriminate directionality (aka good "angular resolution")
- Large area sky survey
- Good survey sensitivity obtained using wide bandwidths for RF reception
- Fast sampling to exclude local RFI
- Enthusiasm to employ cutting-edge technology to radio astronomical research
- Conscientious attention to the need to understand instrumental effects and calibration
- Persistence





#### **Harold Ewen and Ed Purcell**

The Discovery of the 21-cm Spectral Line of Atomic Hydrogen

Background:

- In 1945 van de Hulst calculated the frequency of the hyperfine structure line of neutral atomic hydrogen and suggested that this line, at 1420 MHz, would be a useful astrophysical probe of interstellar gas. However, he was skeptical it could be detected because the A-value of the transition was so small.
- Ewen was working on RF sources around 1.5 GHz for the Harvard cyclotron
- Purcell, a Harvard physicist, approached Ewen with the idea that they build a simple receiver and horn antenna to see if the van de Hulst line was detectable. This was meant as a modest effort with no high expectations for its success.

#### **The Instrumentation**

- Receiver: Ewen built a simple mixer receiver in his spare time. He consulted with Bob Pound on the mixer and LO design (Pound was responsible for much of the development work on "high" frequency sources). The receiver employed the first use of frequency switching as a means to establish a reference.
- ► Telescope: Ewen designed and built a simple horn antenna. A horn was used because Ewen could calculate its gain accurately and hence provide a limit to the HI line strength that was appropriately calibrated in physical units.





#### **The Effort**

Doing the work in spare time on weekends, Ewen estimated that their total effort was no more than 3-4 months of work.

The initiative was funded by a \$500 grant from the American Academy of Arts and Sciences

The HI spectral line was detected nearly immediately with the horn antenna protruding from the Lyman Laboratory at Harvard.



#### Competitors

The Dutch group led by Jan Oort, and including van de Hulst, had been seeking to detect the HI line but had been delayed by a fire in their laboratory. Hearing of the Ewen and Purcell result, they too adopted frequency switching and found the line.

Frank Kerr, visiting at Harvard at the time, encouraged Joe Pawsey at CSIRO to implement a frequency switching receiver and search for the line.

#### Jan Oort and Henk van de Hulst



#### **Publications**

The American and Dutch results were published in 1951 in the same issue of Nature.

Ewen and Purcell, Nature v.168, p. 356 Muller and Oort, Nature v.168, p. 357

That issue of Nature included a report that the Australian group had confirmed the detections.

#### What Led to the Ewen and Purcell Achievement?

- Purcell's interest in atomic spectroscopy. He was aware of van de Hulst's calculations and understood its potential astrophysical importance.
- "Doc" Ewen's work and expertise on RF devices at microwave frequencies that could be leveraged to build the equipment. Ewen was fascinated by the challenge.
- A new observing technique, frequency switching, that proved to be necessary to detect the very extended HI emission. Purcell recognized that HI would be ubiquitous, and Ewen/Pound designed and implemented a radiometer and LO to meet the need.

#### "Doc" Ewen (1951)





#### Jocelyn Bell Burnell The Discovery of Pulsars

Tony Hewish at Cambridge (England) sought to identify Quasars from radio galaxies by means of interplanetary scintillation. Quasars, being of small angular size scintillate in the interplanetary medium, the large radio galaxies do not.

Hewish designed an aerial array to operate at 81.5 MHz for the scintillation observations.

Jocelyn Bell, and other thesis students, built the array in 1965-66.

(From Serendipitous Discoveries in Radio Astronomy, ed K. Kellermann and B. Sheets)

#### The Cambridge Scintillation Radio Telescope

Scintillation is characterized by rapid variability, twinkling, of compact radio sources.

The physical cause of scintillation is the passage of "blobs" of interplanetary plasma through the line of sight between the radio source and the Earth. Because the local structure of the interplanetary plasma is time-dependent not every small radio source scintillates every day. Repeated observations are required.

- Fast data sampling was needed, at  $\sim 0.1$  sec, to see scintillation
- To achieve sufficient sensitivity with short integrations the telescope area must be large.

The Cambridge scintillation radio telescope had an effective area of 4.5 acres.

#### Data Taking and Processing at the Cambridge Scintillation Radio Telescope

The radio telescope formed 4 simultaneous beams that scanned 4 different declinations each day.

In 4 days all declinations between -10 deg and +50 degrees were covered. The observations were repeated. Each patch of sky was observed 30 times in 6 months.

Although interplanetary scintillation is a phenomenon seen in the ecliptic, and hence is prominent during daytimes, data were taken 24 hours/day

The data were recorded only on strip chart recorders, ~100 feet of chart paper per day, every day, all analyzed by Jocelyn Bell by hand.

#### **Types of Sources Identified**

- 1. Non-scintillating continuum sources
- 2. Sources that scintillate weakly to very strongly
- 3. RFI—automobile ignition, aircraft, transmitter harmonics
- 4. Rapidly variable "scruff" that appeared neither with the time signature of RFI nor with that of a scintillating source. This was seen in ~1/4 of an inch of the 100 feet of chart paper recorded daily. The variations were periodic to high precision.







#### Steps to the Conclusion that the "Scruff" had a Cosmic Origin

- 1. The scruff, when present, always appeared in the same declination strip and never in other declination strips observed at the same time.
- 2. Continuous observations over the interval of more than 6 months lead to the conclusion that the scruff was fixed in right ascension, not local time.
- 3. Observations with another telescope also operating at 81.5 MHz gave the same result.
- Another example of "scruff" with the same characteristics were found in the data set (the archive of chart recordings).



#### Earliest Physical Interpretations of the Phenomenon

- 1. Stellar Oscillations such as are seen in white dwarfs
- 2. Little Green Men (LGM). The whimsical characterization of the scruff suggested in the discovery paper as indicative of the possibility of broadcast signals from other civilizations.

#### What Led to Jocelyn Bell Burnell's Achievement?

- 1. Survey conducted with high time resolution
- Survey telescope of sufficient size to detect weak sources with the very short integration times being used
- 3. Survey repetition over long time duration (many months) so that multiple observations are made at each point in the sky
- 4. Conscientious attention to the need to understand subtle effects in the data



#### A.A. Penzias and R. W. Wilson

Discovery of the Cosmic Microwave Background

Background:

- Arno Penzias: Joined AT&T Bell Labs as a fresh Princeton PhD in 1962 with a desire to continue his thesis research which was a search for HI 21-cm emission from clusters of galaxies. Built the cold load
- Robert Wilson: Joined AT&T Bell Labs as a fresh Caltech PhD in 1963 with a desire to continue his thesis research which was a search for the radio halo of the Milky Way. Rebuilt the receiver.
- Penzias and Wilson were the only radio astronomers at Bell Labs

(From Serendipitous Discoveries in Radio Astronomy, ed. K. Kellermann and B. Sheets.)

#### The Telescope: A 20-Foot Horn Reflector Used for Project Echo

- The horn-reflector was conceived and built by Harald Friis, the same person who designed and built Jansky's antenna.
- The horn-reflector was invented for communications purposes because it has extremely low sidelobe response.
- ▶ The aperture is unblocked
- The gain response can be accurately calculated and measured
- Pointed upward, the receiver is shielded from the ground; the sidelobe/backlobe response is very low.



#### The Receiver: A 6-GHz,Dicke-Switched, Traveling-Wave Maser Radiometer

The Echo receiver was adapted to the needs of radio<br/>astronomyDuring Project Echo the system temperature was computed<br/>to be:Sky (at zenith) $2.3 \pm 0.2$  KHorn antenna $2.0 \pm 1.0$  KWaveguide $7.0 \pm 0.7$  KMaser assembly $7.0 \pm 1.0$  KConverter $0.6 \pm 0.2$  K

Total System Temperature 18.9 ± 3.0 K



#### **Sky Temperature Measurements**

1. Echo tipping scans consistently gave Tsys =  $22.2 \pm 1.0 \text{ K}$ 

- These results were reproduced by Penzias and Wilson at 4.1 GHz
- Penzias and Wilson switched the radiometer between the 4.2 K helium cold load and the antenna as the antenna scanned in elevation. Saw that the sky temperature matched the cold load temperature at 40 degrees elevaton with 0.04 db of attenuation (~ 7.5 K total radiation temperature. This was qualitatively unexpected, the antenna should not be warmer than the cold load!

#### **Possible Explanations**

- 1. The atmospheric emission at 4.1 GHz was much greater than expected
  - Tipping scans ruled this out
- 2. RFI contributions
  - Repeated scans in elevation over day ruled this out
- Unresolved sources in the Milky Way
   Spectral extrapolations from source surveys at lower frequencies made this unlikely
- 4. Radiation from the walls of the antenna
  - Measurements of ohmic losses, and measurements over an entire year ruled this out

#### The Explanation: Consult Experts!

- Ken Turner (a former NAIC staff scientist) heard of the result and mentioned it to his DTM colleague, Bernie Burke, who phoned Arno and encouraged him to contact Bob Dicke.
- Dicke provided the cosmological interpretation to the observations that was in fact the solution to an astrophysical problem of long-standing.

Penzias and Wilson published their result.

#### Penzias and Wilson Publication ApJ Letters vol. 142, p.419 (1965)

A MEASUREMENT OF EXCESS ANTENNA TEMPERATURE AT 4000 Mc/s Measurements of the effective scnith noise temperature of the 20-foot horn-reflector antenna (Crawford, Hogg, and Hunt 1961) at the Crawford Hill Laboratory, Holmdel, New Jerey, at 4000 Mc/s have yelded a value about 3% K higher than expected. This excess temperature is, within the limits of our observations, isotropic, unpolarized, and

A. A. PENZIAS R. W. WILSON

May 13, 1965 Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. Crawpord Hill, Holmdel, New Jersey

REFERENCES Conclored, A. R., Hong, D. C., and Hurn, L. E. 1061, *Ball System Tech. J.*, 40, 1095, Devices A. W., 1997, C., and Hurn, L. E. 1061, *H. E. D.* 1998, "Ultra-low Noise Receiving Systems for Statistical Concentration of the Concentration of Conference, 13, Dicks, D. 1097, *J. J. Phys. Rev. J.*, 40, 1005, Dicks, D. 1097, *J. J. Phys. Rev. J.*, 40, 1005, Timm, E. A. 1996, *J. Phys. Rev. J.*, 40, 1005, Paulior-Tohl, I. K., and Shachada, H. R. 19962, M. N., 124, 61, Pennian, A. A. 1995, *Rev. Sci. Art.*, 16, 085, 47, J. (5) press).

#### A Digression: The Cosmological Debate of the mid 20<sup>th</sup> Century

Forty years ago, one of the major astrophysical questions was whether the universe was evolving from a "big bang" or whether it was in a steady state. Both ideas had vocal proponents, neither had much in the way of observational proof.

A few consensus ideas existed that maintained the perpetuity of the universe without recourse to a steady state explanation. Robert Dicke espoused one of these, the 'oscillating' universe.

#### A Digression: The Cosmological Debate of the mid 20<sup>th</sup> Century

The primary difference between any cosmological model with a "bang" and one without is that the bang models leave residual radiation as a permanent record of the bang. In an expanding universe this radiation cools with the expansion ultimately becoming a dominate contributor to the microwave background.

As Penzias and Wilson were making their observations at Bell Labs, Dicke, Wilkinson and Pebbles were initiating experiments at Princeton to search specifically for the CMB.

# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

#### Another Digression: The Excitation of CN

- In 1938 (!) S. W. Adams discovered absorption lines from interstellar cyanogen (CN) molecules in stellar spectra. Andrew McKellar computed the CN excitation temperature from the line strengths and concluded that it was 2.3 K, a value that appeared to be constant from CN absorption lines in the spectra of all stars in which the interstellar CN absorption lines were seen. The source of the excitation was a mystery, and remained a well-known mystery, until 1965 when the CMB was revealed.
- Immediately after the Penzias and Wilson result was announced, observations were made of the CN 2.6 mm spectral line providing strong support for the CMB observations.

#### What Led to Penzias and Wilson's Achievement?

- 1. Pursuit of a precision measurement using/building equipment capable of providing the required precision.
- 2. Sufficient access to the telescope to conduct confirming observations, and make tests, over a long period of time.
- 3. Conscientious attention to the need to understand subtle effects in the data.
- 4. Advice of experts.



# <text><text><section-header><text><text><text><text><text>

#### R. W. Wilson, K. B. Jefferts, and A. A. Penzias The Discovery of Carbon Monoxide

Background:

- In 1970, the existence of interstellar molecules in dense regions of the interstellar medium was well established.
- OH and H<sub>2</sub>O were known to be exceptionally bright masers in regions of active star formation
- Thermal OH was being mapped the disk of the Milky Way and found to be present in dense gas
- ▶ Formaldehyde, H<sub>2</sub>CO, had been detected
- The molecular chemistry of the interstellar medium was known to be organic.
- But there was no widely-distributed, bright, spectral tracer of molecular gas, nothing equivalent to the HI 21-cm line for atomic gas.

#### Why Carbon Monoxide?

- 1. CO was known to be readily produced by ionmolecule reactions in the gas phase.
- It was expected to be abundant in the ISM because its atomic constituents are among the most abundant heavy elements in the cosmos, both ~0.1% of H
- 3. CO has a photodissociation potential, and a photoionization potential greater than the Ly- $\alpha$  energy $\rightarrow$ CO can exist near early-type stars.
- 4. CO is shielded from continuum photoionization and photodissociation by H<sub>2</sub>.

#### Instrumentation

- 1. Telescope: NRAO 36-foot mm-wave telescope on Kitt Peak was the largest mm-wave telescope available in 1970.
- Receiver: To get the expected sensitivity necessary, Wilson and Jefferts built the receiver out of developmental Bell Labs Schottky diodes. A klystron was used for the LO.
- 3. Backends: NRAO facility filterbanks
- 4. Software: Instrument control software, and analysis software, provided by Jefferts.





#### Consequences of the CO Discovery

- 1. CO is the fundamental astrophysical probe of the molecular environment and star formation.
- 2. Surveys of CO in the Milky Way led to the discovery of Giant Molecular Clouds, the most massive constituents of all spiral galaxies.
- 3. Observations of the three CO isotopes measures the nucleosynthetic evolution of galaxies and regions of star formation.
- 4. CO is the primary molecular probe of galaxies throughout the universe.

#### What Led to Wilson, Jefferts and Penzias' Achievement?

- 1. Astrophysical judgment informed by the advice of experts
- 2. Institutional support that led to the availability of the advanced Schottky diodes needed for the receiver
- 3. Access to a telescope suitable for the observations



