Other Candidates

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 </p

Exotic Dark Matter Candidates

Ersen Bilgin

Department of Physics California Institute of Technology

Ph135c, Non-Accelerator Experimental Particle Physics

Steril	e N	eut	rin	os
	000			

Other Candidates

Outline

- Sterile Neutrinos
 - Motivation
 - The Model
- 2 Little Higgs Models
 - Motivation
 - The Model
- 3
- Other Candidates
- SUSY
- Light Scalar Dark Matter
- Wimpzillas
- Universal Extra Dimensions
- More Candidates

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

STERILE NEUTRINOS in ν MSM

Asaka, Shaposhkinov and Kusenko

- Standard Model incomplete in Neutrino Sector
- Baryon asymmetry in the universe
- Origin of Dark Matter
- Adding three sterile neutrinos explains all this

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

- Asaka, Shaposhkinov and Kusenko
- Standard Model incomplete in Neutrino Sector
- Baryon asymmetry in the universe
- Origin of Dark Matter
- Adding three sterile neutrinos explains all this

Other Candidates

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

- Asaka, Shaposhkinov and Kusenko
- Standard Model incomplete in Neutrino Sector
- Baryon asymmetry in the universe
- Origin of Dark Matter
- Adding three sterile neutrinos explains all this

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

- Asaka, Shaposhkinov and Kusenko
- Standard Model incomplete in Neutrino Sector
- Baryon asymmetry in the universe
- Origin of Dark Matter
- Adding three sterile neutrinos explains all this

Other Candidates

- Asaka, Shaposhkinov and Kusenko
- Standard Model incomplete in Neutrino Sector
- Baryon asymmetry in the universe
- Origin of Dark Matter
- Adding three sterile neutrinos explains all this

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

INDIRECT HINTS

Consistent with neutrino oscillations

- Lightest can account for cosmological dark matter
- Explain observed velocities of pulsars by the emission of light sterile neutrino in supernova explosions
- X-ray photons speed up early star formation (WMAP)
- Heavy sterile neutrinos generate asymmetries between sterile neutrinos and left-handed leptons

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

- Consistent with neutrino oscillations
- Lightest can account for cosmological dark matter
- Explain observed velocities of pulsars by the emission of light sterile neutrino in supernova explosions
- X-ray photons speed up early star formation (WMAP)
- Heavy sterile neutrinos generate asymmetries between sterile neutrinos and left-handed leptons

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

- Consistent with neutrino oscillations
- Lightest can account for cosmological dark matter
- Explain observed velocities of pulsars by the emission of light sterile neutrino in supernova explosions
- X-ray photons speed up early star formation (WMAP)
- Heavy sterile neutrinos generate asymmetries between sterile neutrinos and left-handed leptons

- Consistent with neutrino oscillations
- Lightest can account for cosmological dark matter
- Explain observed velocities of pulsars by the emission of light sterile neutrino in supernova explosions
- X-ray photons speed up early star formation (WMAP)
- Heavy sterile neutrinos generate asymmetries between sterile neutrinos and left-handed leptons

- Consistent with neutrino oscillations
- Lightest can account for cosmological dark matter
- Explain observed velocities of pulsars by the emission of light sterile neutrino in supernova explosions
- X-ray photons speed up early star formation (WMAP)
- Heavy sterile neutrinos generate asymmetries between sterile neutrinos and left-handed leptons

Steri	le l	Neu	itrinos
000	00	00	00

Other Candidates

The Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\nu \mathrm{MSM}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{MSM}} + \overline{N}_{l} i \partial_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} N_{l} \\ &- \mathcal{F}_{\alpha l} \Phi \overline{L}_{\alpha} N_{l} - \frac{M_{l}}{2} \overline{N_{l}^{c}} N_{l} + \mathrm{h.c.} \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M^{D} \\ (M^{D})^{T} & M^{I} \end{pmatrix} , \quad M^{D} = F\langle \Phi \rangle$$
 (1)

$$m_{\nu} = -M^D \frac{1}{M_I} [M^D]^T$$

$$\theta^2 = \frac{1}{M_s^2} \sum_{\alpha = \theta \mu \tau} |M_{\alpha 1}^D|^2$$

Sterile	Neutrinos
0000	00000

Other Candidates

Summary

The Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\nu \mathrm{MSM}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{MSM}} + \overline{N}_{I} i \partial_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} N_{I} \\ &- \mathcal{F}_{\alpha I} \Phi \overline{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} - \frac{M_{I}}{2} \overline{N_{I}^{c}} N_{I} + \mathrm{h.c.} \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M^{D} \\ (M^{D})^{T} & M^{I} \end{pmatrix} , \quad M^{D} = F \langle \Phi \rangle$$
 (1)

$$m_{\nu} = -M^D \frac{1}{M_I} [M^D]^T$$

$$\theta^2 = \frac{1}{M_s^2} \sum_{\alpha = e\mu\tau} |M_{\alpha 1}^D|^2$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Sterile	Neutrinos
0000	00000

Other Candidates

Summary

The Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\nu \mathrm{MSM}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{MSM}} + \overline{N}_{I} i \partial_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} N_{I} \\ &- \mathcal{F}_{\alpha I} \Phi \overline{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} - \frac{M_{I}}{2} \overline{N_{I}^{c}} N_{I} + \mathrm{h.c.} \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M^{D} \\ (M^{D})^{T} & M^{I} \end{pmatrix} , \quad M^{D} = F \langle \Phi \rangle$$
 (1)

$$m_{\nu} = -M^D \frac{1}{M_I} [M^D]^T$$

$$\theta^2 = \frac{1}{M_s^2} \sum_{\alpha = e_{\mu\tau}} |M_{\alpha 1}^D|^2$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Sterile	Neutrinos
0000	00000

Other Candidates

Summary

The Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\nu \mathrm{MSM}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{MSM}} + \overline{N}_{I} i \partial_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} N_{I} \\ &- \mathcal{F}_{\alpha I} \Phi \overline{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} - \frac{M_{I}}{2} \overline{N_{I}^{c}} N_{I} + \mathrm{h.c.} \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M^{D} \\ (M^{D})^{T} & M^{I} \end{pmatrix} , \quad M^{D} = F \langle \Phi \rangle$$
 (1)

$$m_{\nu} = -M^D \frac{1}{M_I} [M^D]^T$$

$$heta^2 = rac{1}{M_{s}^2}\sum_{lpha=e\mu au}|M_{lpha1}^D|^2$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Sterile	Neutrinos
00000	00000

Other Candidates

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- $\theta < \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ to avoid overclosing the universe
- $\theta > 5 \times 10^{-4} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{1/2}$ for the sterile neutrinos to be in thermal equilibrium
- Virgo cluster: $\theta < 1.6 \times 10^{-3} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^2$, for 1 keV $< M_s < 10 \text{ keV}$
- X-ray background : $\theta < 5.8 \times 10^{-3} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{5/2}$, for 1 keV $< M_s < 100 \text{ keV}$

Sterile	Neutrinos
0000	00000

Other Candidates

- $\theta < \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ to avoid overclosing the universe
- $\theta > 5 \times 10^{-4} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{1/2}$ for the sterile neutrinos to be in thermal equilibrium
- Virgo cluster: θ < 1.6 × 10⁻³ (1 keV/M_s)², for 1 keV < M_s < 10 keV
- X-ray background : $\theta < 5.8 \times 10^{-3} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{5/2}$, for 1 keV $< M_s < 100 \text{ keV}$

Sterile	Neutrinos
00000	00000

Other Candidates

- $\theta < \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ to avoid overclosing the universe
- $\theta > 5 \times 10^{-4} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{1/2}$ for the sterile neutrinos to be in thermal equilibrium
- Virgo cluster: $\theta < 1.6 \times 10^{-3} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^2$, for 1 keV $< M_s < 10 \text{ keV}$
- X-ray background : $\theta < 5.8 \times 10^{-3} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{5/2}$, for 1 keV $< M_s < 100 \text{ keV}$

Sterile	Neutrinos
00000	00000

Other Candidates

- $\theta < \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ to avoid overclosing the universe
- $\theta > 5 \times 10^{-4} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{1/2}$ for the sterile neutrinos to be in thermal equilibrium
- Virgo cluster: $\theta < 1.6 \times 10^{-3} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^2$, for 1 keV $< M_s < 10 \text{ keV}$
- X-ray background : $\theta < 5.8 \times 10^{-3} (1 \text{ keV}/M_s)^{5/2}$, for 1 keV $< M_s < 100 \text{ keV}$

Other Candidates

SCENERIOS FOR ONE STERILE NEUTRINO

Scenerio I

• No sterile neutrinos at *T* > 1 GeV

- Weak couplings between singlet fermions and fields beyond SM.
- $\theta = \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ for the right amount of dark matter
- This and Virgo cluster observations give M_s < 8 keV
- CMB, Lyman-α, Sloan Digital Sky Survey: M_s > 2 keV (due to free streaming length of the sterile neutrino)

Other Candidates

SCENERIOS FOR ONE STERILE NEUTRINO

Scenerio I

No sterile neutrinos at T > 1 GeV

- Weak couplings between singlet fermions and fields beyond SM.
- $\theta = \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ for the right amount of dark matter
- This and Virgo cluster observations give M_s < 8 keV
- CMB, Lyman-α, Sloan Digital Sky Survey: M_s > 2 keV (due to free streaming length of the sterile neutrino)

Other Candidates

SCENERIOS FOR ONE STERILE NEUTRINO

- No sterile neutrinos at T > 1 GeV
 - Weak couplings between singlet fermions and fields beyond SM.
 - $\theta = \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ for the right amount of dark matter
 - This and Virgo cluster observations give M_s < 8 keV
 - CMB, Lyman-α, Sloan Digital Sky Survey: M_s > 2 keV (due to free streaming length of the sterile neutrino)

Other Candidates

SCENERIOS FOR ONE STERILE NEUTRINO

- No sterile neutrinos at T > 1 GeV
 - Weak couplings between singlet fermions and fields beyond SM.
 - $\theta = \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ for the right amount of dark matter
 - This and Virgo cluster observations give M_s < 8 keV
 - CMB, Lyman-α, Sloan Digital Sky Survey: M_s > 2 keV (due to free streaming length of the sterile neutrino)

Other Candidates

SCENERIOS FOR ONE STERILE NEUTRINO

- No sterile neutrinos at T > 1 GeV
 - Weak couplings between singlet fermions and fields beyond SM.
 - $\theta = \theta_{max}(M_s) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^{0.8}$ for the right amount of dark matter
 - This and Virgo cluster observations give M_s < 8 keV
 - CMB, Lyman-α, Sloan Digital Sky Survey: M_s > 2 keV (due to free streaming length of the sterile neutrino)

SCENERIOS FOR ONE STERILE NEUTRINO

Scenerio II

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature and abundance at EW scale is the same as active neutrinos
 - Force $M_s \sim 100 \text{ eV}$
 - Does not agree with Tremaine-Gunn bound:
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \ {
 m keV}$

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature but not through active sterile neutrino oscillations
 - Not studied much
 - $\theta < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ by X-ray observations not directly detectable in laboratory
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

SCENERIOS FOR ONE STERILE NEUTRINO

Scenerio II

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature and abundance at EW scale is the same as active neutrinos
 - Force $M_s \sim 100 \text{ eV}$
 - Does not agree with Tremaine-Gunn bound:

 $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature but not through active sterile neutrino oscillations
 - Not studied much
 - $\theta < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ by X-ray observations not directly detectable in laboratory
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

Scenerio II

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature and abundance at EW scale is the same as active neutrinos
 - Force M_s ~ 100 eV
 - Does not agree with Tremaine-Gunn bound:
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \ {
 m keV}$

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature but not through active sterile neutrino oscillations
 - Not studied much
 - $\theta < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ by X-ray observations not directly detectable in laboratory
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

Scenerio II

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature and abundance at EW scale is the same as active neutrinos
 - Force M_s ~ 100 eV
 - Does not agree with Tremaine-Gunn bound:
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \ {
 m keV}$

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature but not through active sterile neutrino oscillations
 - Not studied much
 - $\theta < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ by X-ray observations not directly detectable in laboratory
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

Scenerio II

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature and abundance at EW scale is the same as active neutrinos
 - Force M_s ~ 100 eV
 - Does not agree with Tremaine-Gunn bound:
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \ {
 m keV}$

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature but not through active sterile neutrino oscillations
 - Not studied much
 - θ < 2 × 10⁻⁴ by X-ray observations not directly detectable in laboratory
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

Scenerio II

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature and abundance at EW scale is the same as active neutrinos
 - Force M_s ~ 100 eV
 - Does not agree with Tremaine-Gunn bound:
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \ {
 m keV}$

Scenerio III

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature but not through active sterile neutrino oscillations
 - Not studied much
 - $\theta < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ by X-ray observations not directly detectable in laboratory

• $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

Scenerio II

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature and abundance at EW scale is the same as active neutrinos
 - Force M_s ~ 100 eV
 - Does not agree with Tremaine-Gunn bound:
 - $M_s > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

- Sterile Neutrinos at equilibrium at high temperature but not through active sterile neutrino oscillations
 - Not studied much
 - $\theta < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ by X-ray observations not directly detectable in laboratory
 - $M_{s} > M_{TG} \simeq 0.3 \text{ keV}$

νMSM

Introduce two heavy sterile neutrinos

- Need M ~ O(1 − 10) GeV to satisfy matter-antimatter asymmetry.
- also need heavy sterile neutrinos to be degenerate in mass
 - amplify CP-violating effects in sterile neutrino oscillations
- Modify bound on M_s : $M_s > 0.55$ keV
- $\theta \sim 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$



- Introduce two heavy sterile neutrinos
- Need M ~ O(1 10) GeV to satisfy matter-antimatter asymmetry.
- also need heavy sterile neutrinos to be degenerate in mass
 amplify CP-violating effects in sterile neutrino oscillations
- Modify bound on M_s : $M_s > 0.55$ keV

• $\theta \sim 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$



- Introduce two heavy sterile neutrinos
- Need M ∼ O(1 − 10) GeV to satisfy matter-antimatter asymmetry.
- also need heavy sterile neutrinos to be degenerate in mass
 - amplify CP-violating effects in sterile neutrino oscillations
- Modify bound on M_s : $M_s > 0.55$ keV

• $\theta \sim 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$



- Introduce two heavy sterile neutrinos
- Need M ∼ O(1 − 10) GeV to satisfy matter-antimatter asymmetry.
- also need heavy sterile neutrinos to be degenerate in mass
 - amplify CP-violating effects in sterile neutrino oscillations
- Modify bound on M_s : $M_s > 0.55$ keV
- $\theta \sim 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$
◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@



- Introduce two heavy sterile neutrinos
- Need M ∼ O(1 − 10) GeV to satisfy matter-antimatter asymmetry.
- also need heavy sterile neutrinos to be degenerate in mass
 - amplify CP-violating effects in sterile neutrino oscillations
- Modify bound on M_s: M_s > 0.55 keV
- $\theta \sim 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@



- Introduce two heavy sterile neutrinos
- Need M ∼ O(1 − 10) GeV to satisfy matter-antimatter asymmetry.
- also need heavy sterile neutrinos to be degenerate in mass
 - amplify CP-violating effects in sterile neutrino oscillations
- Modify bound on M_s : $M_s > 0.55$ keV
- $\theta \sim 9.2 imes 10^{-3}$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@



• Can be falsified by neutrino physics data

- If Mini-Boone confirmed LSND results, need to add one more neutrino
- Preliminary results say it doesn't.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@



- Can be falsified by neutrino physics data
- If Mini-Boone confirmed LSND results, need to add one more neutrino
- Preliminary results say it doesn't.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@



- Can be falsified by neutrino physics data
- If Mini-Boone confirmed LSND results, need to add one more neutrino
- Preliminary results say it doesn't.

Questions on ν MSM

- How does sterile neutrino decay into X-ray photon?
 - $N_1 \rightarrow \gamma \nu, \gamma \bar{\nu}$ (similar to ultra-violet radiation from active neutrino decays)
- What happens to sterile neutrinos below equilibrium temp
 - It drops and currently it should be $\sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ less than active neutrinos
- Explain Tremaine-Gunn Bound Why doesn't it apply to neutralinos?
 - Liouville's Theorem: For non-interacting particles density of fluid element in phase space does not change.
 - Maximum coarse grained phase space density can only decrease.
 - Apply this to isothermal gas spheres (neutrinos)

Sterile	Neutrinos
00000	0000

Other Candidates

Questions on *v*MSM

- How does sterile neutrino decay into X-ray photon?
 - $N_1 \rightarrow \gamma \nu, \gamma \bar{\nu}$ (similar to ultra-violet radiation from active neutrino decays)
- What happens to sterile neutrinos below equilibrium temp
 - It drops and currently it should be $\sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ less than active neutrinos
- Explain Tremaine-Gunn Bound Why doesn't it apply to neutralinos?
 - Liouville's Theorem: For non-interacting particles density of fluid element in phase space does not change.
 - Maximum coarse grained phase space density can only decrease.
 - Apply this to isothermal gas spheres (neutrinos)

Sterile	Neutrinos
00000	0000

Questions on ν MSM

- How does sterile neutrino decay into X-ray photon?
 - $N_1 \rightarrow \gamma \nu, \gamma \bar{\nu}$ (similar to ultra-violet radiation from active neutrino decays)
- What happens to sterile neutrinos below equilibrium temp
 - It drops and currently it should be $\sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ less than active neutrinos
- Explain Tremaine-Gunn Bound Why doesn't it apply to neutralinos?
 - Liouville's Theorem: For non-interacting particles density of fluid element in phase space does not change.
 - Maximum coarse grained phase space density can only decrease.
 - Apply this to isothermal gas spheres (neutrinos)

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

LITTLE HIGGS MODELS

Alternative to SUSY

- Higgs mass quadratically divergent.
- $\bullet\,$ To stabilize its mass need new physics at \sim 1 TeV
- Precision electroweak measurements give no evidence of new physics up to $\gtrsim 5-7~{\rm GeV}$
- Not Natural.

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

LITTLE HIGGS MODELS

Alternative to SUSY

Higgs mass quadratically divergent.

- $\bullet\,$ To stabilize its mass need new physics at \sim 1 TeV
- Precision electroweak measurements give no evidence of new physics up to $\gtrsim 5-7~{\rm GeV}$
- Not Natural.

Other Candidates

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

LITTLE HIGGS MODELS

- Alternative to SUSY
- Higgs mass quadratically divergent.
- $\bullet\,$ To stabilize its mass need new physics at \sim 1 TeV
- Precision electroweak measurements give no evidence of new physics up to $\gtrsim 5-7~\text{GeV}$
- Not Natural.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

LITTLE HIGGS MODELS

- Alternative to SUSY
- Higgs mass quadratically divergent.
- $\bullet\,$ To stabilize its mass need new physics at \sim 1 TeV
- Precision electroweak measurements give no evidence of new physics up to $\gtrsim 5-7~\text{GeV}$
- Not Natural.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

LITTLE HIGGS MODELS

- Alternative to SUSY
- Higgs mass quadratically divergent.
- $\bullet\,$ To stabilize its mass need new physics at \sim 1 TeV
- Precision electroweak measurements give no evidence of new physics up to $\gtrsim 5-7~\text{GeV}$
- Not Natural.

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

CHENG - LOW MODEL

• 5 – 7 GeV bound assumes new fields couple at tree level

- Only need quantum loop diagrams to cancel quadratic divergences
- No bound if interaction vertices involve Higgs and two or more new TeV particles
- Introduce new symmetry "*T-Parity* " acting only on new particles
- Avoids Higgs interacting with one new TeV particle and relaxes the constraints.

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

- 5-7 GeV bound assumes new fields couple at tree level
- Only need quantum loop diagrams to cancel quadratic divergences
- No bound if interaction vertices involve Higgs and two or more new TeV particles
- Introduce new symmetry "*T-Parity* " acting only on new particles
- Avoids Higgs interacting with one new TeV particle and relaxes the constraints.

Other Candidates

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

- 5 7 GeV bound assumes new fields couple at tree level
- Only need quantum loop diagrams to cancel quadratic divergences
- No bound if interaction vertices involve Higgs and two or more new TeV particles
- Introduce new symmetry "*T-Parity* " acting only on new particles
- Avoids Higgs interacting with one new TeV particle and relaxes the constraints.

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■□ のQ@

- 5 7 GeV bound assumes new fields couple at tree level
- Only need quantum loop diagrams to cancel quadratic divergences
- No bound if interaction vertices involve Higgs and two or more new TeV particles
- Introduce new symmetry "*T-Parity* " acting only on new particles
- Avoids Higgs interacting with one new TeV particle and relaxes the constraints.

Other Candidates

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- 5 7 GeV bound assumes new fields couple at tree level
- Only need quantum loop diagrams to cancel quadratic divergences
- No bound if interaction vertices involve Higgs and two or more new TeV particles
- Introduce new symmetry "*T-Parity* " acting only on new particles
- Avoids Higgs interacting with one new TeV particle and relaxes the constraints.

Sterile	Neutrinos
	00000

Other Candidates

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

T-PARITY'S PHENOMENOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES

• Lightest particle that transforms under this symmetry (LTP) is stable

- If charged leaves tracks, if neutral results in missing energy in colliders
- Similar to R-parity conserving SUSY and KK-parity conserving UEDs.
- Spin is different from LSP, and easier to detect than KK excitations.

Sterile	Neutrinos
	00000

Other Candidates

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

T-PARITY'S PHENOMENOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES

- Lightest particle that transforms under this symmetry (LTP) is stable
- If charged leaves tracks, if neutral results in missing energy in colliders
- Similar to R-parity conserving SUSY and KK-parity conserving UEDs.
- Spin is different from LSP, and easier to detect than KK excitations.

Sterile	Neutrinos
	00000

Other Candidates

Summary

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(1)

(1)
(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)
(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)</

T-PARITY'S PHENOMENOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES

- Lightest particle that transforms under this symmetry (LTP) is stable
- If charged leaves tracks, if neutral results in missing energy in colliders
- Similar to R-parity conserving SUSY and KK-parity conserving UEDs.
- Spin is different from LSP, and easier to detect than KK excitations.

Sterile	Neutrinos
	00000

Other Candidates

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

T-PARITY'S PHENOMENOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES

- Lightest particle that transforms under this symmetry (LTP) is stable
- If charged leaves tracks, if neutral results in missing energy in colliders
- Similar to R-parity conserving SUSY and KK-parity conserving UEDs.
- Spin is different from LSP, and easier to detect than KK excitations.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

- neutral *B'* gauge boson with mass 600 GeV 1.2 TeV gives the right relic density for dark matter.
 - Annihilation of *B'* into electron-positron pairs not suppressed.
 - Can be detected at the anti-matter detector on the International Space Station as a peak in the positron energy distribution.
- *SU*(2)_{*W*} singlets and neutral components of some scalar fields are also DM candidates.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

- neutral *B*' gauge boson with mass 600 GeV 1.2 TeV gives the right relic density for dark matter.
 - Annihilation of *B'* into electron-positron pairs not suppressed.
 - Can be detected at the anti-matter detector on the International Space Station as a peak in the positron energy distribution.
- *SU*(2)_{*W*} singlets and neutral components of some scalar fields are also DM candidates.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

- neutral *B'* gauge boson with mass 600 GeV 1.2 TeV gives the right relic density for dark matter.
 - Annihilation of *B*['] into electron-positron pairs not suppressed.
 - Can be detected at the anti-matter detector on the International Space Station as a peak in the positron energy distribution.
- *SU*(2)_{*W*} singlets and neutral components of some scalar fields are also DM candidates.

- neutral *B'* gauge boson with mass 600 GeV 1.2 TeV gives the right relic density for dark matter.
 - Annihilation of *B'* into electron-positron pairs not suppressed.
 - Can be detected at the anti-matter detector on the International Space Station as a peak in the positron energy distribution.
- SU(2)_W singlets and neutral components of some scalar fields are also DM candidates.

Other Candidates

Supersymmetric Candidates

- $\bullet\,$ mass ~ 550 2300 GeV
- scattering cross section larger than the upper limits from experiments.
- Gravitinos: Superpartners of Gravitons
 - Lightest SUSY particle and stable in some SUSY scenarios
 - Hard to detect since they only interact through gravitation
- Axinos: Superpartners of Axions
 - Phenomenology similar to gravitinos.
 - Can be cold, warm or hot DM candidate.

Other Candidates

Supersymmetric Candidates

- $\bullet~mass\sim 550$ 2300 GeV
- scattering cross section larger than the upper limits from experiments.
- Gravitinos: Superpartners of Gravitons
 - Lightest SUSY particle and stable in some SUSY scenarios
 - Hard to detect since they only interact through gravitation
- Axinos: Superpartners of Axions
 - Phenomenology similar to gravitinos.
 - Can be cold, warm or hot DM candidate.

Other Candidates

Supersymmetric Candidates

- $\bullet~mass\sim550$ 2300 GeV
- scattering cross section larger than the upper limits from experiments.
- Gravitinos: Superpartners of Gravitons
 - Lightest SUSY particle and stable in some SUSY scenarios
 - Hard to detect since they only interact through gravitation
- Axinos: Superpartners of Axions
 - Phenomenology similar to gravitinos.
 - Can be cold, warm or hot DM candidate.

Other Candidates

Supersymmetric Candidates

- mass \sim 550 2300 GeV
- scattering cross section larger than the upper limits from experiments.
- Gravitinos: Superpartners of Gravitons
 - Lightest SUSY particle and stable in some SUSY scenarios
 - Hard to detect since they only interact through gravitation
- Axinos: Superpartners of Axions
 - Phenomenology similar to gravitinos.
 - Can be cold, warm or hot DM candidate.

Other Candidates

Supersymmetric Candidates

- mass \sim 550 2300 GeV
- scattering cross section larger than the upper limits from experiments.
- Gravitinos: Superpartners of Gravitons
 - Lightest SUSY particle and stable in some SUSY scenarios
 - Hard to detect since they only interact through gravitation
- Axinos: Superpartners of Axions
 - Phenomenology similar to gravitinos.
 - Can be cold, warm or hot DM candidate.

Other Candidates

Supersymmetric Candidates

- mass \sim 550 2300 GeV
- scattering cross section larger than the upper limits from experiments.
- Gravitinos: Superpartners of Gravitons
 - Lightest SUSY particle and stable in some SUSY scenarios
 - Hard to detect since they only interact through gravitation
- Axinos: Superpartners of Axions
 - Phenomenology similar to gravitinos.
 - Can be cold, warm or hot DM candidate.

Other Candidates

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Supersymmetric Candidates

- mass \sim 550 2300 GeV
- scattering cross section larger than the upper limits from experiments.
- Gravitinos: Superpartners of Gravitons
 - Lightest SUSY particle and stable in some SUSY scenarios
 - Hard to detect since they only interact through gravitation
- Axinos: Superpartners of Axions
 - Phenomenology similar to gravitinos.
 - Can be cold, warm or hot DM candidate.

Sterile Neutrinos

Little Higgs Models

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

Light Scalar Dark Matter

- For fermionic dark matter with standard Fermi interactions, mass of WIMPs \lesssim GeV (Lee and Weinberg)
- Other types of particles (scalar dark matter): mass 1-100 MeV is possible
- 511 keV gamma-ray line from the INTEGRAL satellite from the galactic bulge could be scalar dark matter annihilating into positrons which annihilate to give out the gamma ray line.
- Recently axinos or sterile neutrinos suggested to cause the 511 keV emission.

Sterile Neutrinos

Little Higgs Models

Other Candidates

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(日)

(1)

(1)
(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)
(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)</

Light Scalar Dark Matter

- For fermionic dark matter with standard Fermi interactions, mass of WIMPs \lesssim GeV (Lee and Weinberg)
- Other types of particles (scalar dark matter): mass 1-100 MeV is possible
- 511 keV gamma-ray line from the INTEGRAL satellite from the galactic bulge could be scalar dark matter annihilating into positrons which annihilate to give out the gamma ray line.
- Recently axinos or sterile neutrinos suggested to cause the 511 keV emission.

Other Candidates

Light Scalar Dark Matter

- For fermionic dark matter with standard Fermi interactions, mass of WIMPs \lesssim GeV (Lee and Weinberg)
- Other types of particles (scalar dark matter): mass 1-100 MeV is possible
- 511 keV gamma-ray line from the INTEGRAL satellite from the galactic bulge could be scalar dark matter annihilating into positrons which annihilate to give out the gamma ray line.
- Recently axinos or sterile neutrinos suggested to cause the 511 keV emission.
Other Candidates

Light Scalar Dark Matter

- For fermionic dark matter with standard Fermi interactions, mass of WIMPs \lesssim GeV (Lee and Weinberg)
- Other types of particles (scalar dark matter): mass 1-100 MeV is possible
- 511 keV gamma-ray line from the INTEGRAL satellite from the galactic bulge could be scalar dark matter annihilating into positrons which annihilate to give out the gamma ray line.
- Recently axinos or sterile neutrinos suggested to cause the 511 keV emission.

WIMPZILLAS

• Unitarity bound gives maximum annihilation cross-section as a function of mass

• Use WMAP constraint on $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ to get $m_{DM} \lesssim 34 \text{ TeV}$

- Wimpzillas were NOT in thermal equilibrium during freeze-out
- They have mass $> 10^{10}$ GeV
- created from gravitational production at the end of inflation
- Motivation: Cosmic rays above GZK cutoff ($5 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$)
 - these rays interact at resonance with CMB photons so universe should be opaque to them

Sterile Neutrinos	Little Higgs Models	Other Candidates	Summary
WIMPZILLAS			

- Unitarity bound gives maximum annihilation cross-section as a function of mass
 - Use WMAP constraint on $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ to get $m_{DM} \lesssim$ 34 TeV
- Wimpzillas were NOT in thermal equilibrium during freeze-out
- They have mass > 10¹⁰ GeV
- created from gravitational production at the end of inflation
- Motivation: Cosmic rays above GZK cutoff ($5 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$)
 - these rays interact at resonance with CMB photons so universe should be opaque to them

Sterile Neutrinos	Little Higgs Models	Other Candidates ○○●○○	Summary

- Unitarity bound gives maximum annihilation cross-section as a function of mass
 - Use WMAP constraint on $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ to get $m_{DM} \lesssim$ 34 TeV
- Wimpzillas were NOT in thermal equilibrium during freeze-out
- They have mass $> 10^{10}$ GeV
- created from gravitational production at the end of inflation
- Motivation: Cosmic rays above GZK cutoff ($5 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$)
 - these rays interact at resonance with CMB photons so universe should be opaque to them

Sterile Neutrinos	Little Higgs Models	Other Candidates	Summary

- Unitarity bound gives maximum annihilation cross-section as a function of mass
 - Use WMAP constraint on $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ to get $m_{DM} \lesssim$ 34 TeV
- Wimpzillas were NOT in thermal equilibrium during freeze-out
- They have mass > 10¹⁰ GeV
- created from gravitational production at the end of inflation
- Motivation: Cosmic rays above GZK cutoff ($5 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$)
 - these rays interact at resonance with CMB photons so universe should be opaque to them

Sterile Neutrinos	Little Higgs Models	Other Candidates ○○●○○	Summary

- Unitarity bound gives maximum annihilation cross-section as a function of mass
 - Use WMAP constraint on $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ to get $m_{DM} \lesssim$ 34 TeV
- Wimpzillas were NOT in thermal equilibrium during freeze-out
- They have mass > 10¹⁰ GeV
- created from gravitational production at the end of inflation
- Motivation: Cosmic rays above GZK cutoff (5×10^{19} eV)
 - these rays interact at resonance with CMB photons so universe should be opaque to them

Sterile Neutrinos	Little Higgs Models	Other Candidates	Summary
WIMPZILLAS			

- Unitarity bound gives maximum annihilation cross-section as a function of mass
 - Use WMAP constraint on $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ to get $m_{DM} \lesssim 34 \text{ TeV}$
- Wimpzillas were NOT in thermal equilibrium during freeze-out
- They have mass > 10¹⁰ GeV
- created from gravitational production at the end of inflation
- Motivation: Cosmic rays above GZK cutoff ($5 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$)
 - these rays interact at resonance with CMB photons so universe should be opaque to them

Sterile Neutrinos	Little Higgs Models	Other Candidates	Summary
WIMPZILLAS			

- Unitarity bound gives maximum annihilation cross-section as a function of mass
 - Use WMAP constraint on $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ to get $m_{DM} \lesssim$ 34 TeV
- Wimpzillas were NOT in thermal equilibrium during freeze-out
- They have mass > 10¹⁰ GeV
- created from gravitational production at the end of inflation
- Motivation: Cosmic rays above GZK cutoff ($5 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$)
 - these rays interact at resonance with CMB photons so universe should be opaque to them

Sterile Neutrinos

Little Higgs Models

Other Candidates

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

Universal Extra Dimensions

Conservation of Momentum in higher dimensional space

- Conservation of KK number in compactified space
- Kaluza-Klein Particle
 - studied since 1984
 - Lightest Kaluza-Klein Particle (LKP) has mass \sim 400 to 1200 GeV
 - See the Extra Dimensions talk on May 27.

Other Candidates

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

- Conservation of Momentum in higher dimensional space
- Conservation of KK number in compactified space
- Kaluza-Klein Particle
 - studied since 1984
 - Lightest Kaluza-Klein Particle (LKP) has mass \sim 400 to 1200 GeV
 - See the Extra Dimensions talk on May 27.

Sterile Neutrinos

Little Higgs Models

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

- Conservation of Momentum in higher dimensional space
- Conservation of KK number in compactified space
- Kaluza-Klein Particle
 - studied since 1984
 - Lightest Kaluza-Klein Particle (LKP) has mass \sim 400 to 1200 GeV
 - See the Extra Dimensions talk on May 27.

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

- Conservation of Momentum in higher dimensional space
- Conservation of KK number in compactified space
- Kaluza-Klein Particle
 - studied since 1984
 - Lightest Kaluza-Klein Particle (LKP) has mass \sim 400 to 1200 GeV
 - See the Extra Dimensions talk on May 27.

Other Candidates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

- Conservation of Momentum in higher dimensional space
- Conservation of KK number in compactified space
- Kaluza-Klein Particle
 - studied since 1984
 - Lightest Kaluza-Klein Particle (LKP) has mass \sim 400 to 1200 GeV
 - See the Extra Dimensions talk on May 27.

Other Candidates

- Conservation of Momentum in higher dimensional space
- Conservation of KK number in compactified space
- Kaluza-Klein Particle
 - studied since 1984
 - Lightest Kaluza-Klein Particle (LKP) has mass \sim 400 to 1200 GeV
 - See the Extra Dimensions talk on May 27.

Sterile Neutrinos

Little Higgs Models

Other Candidates ○○○○● Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □□ のQ@

Even more candidates

 Q-Balls, mirror particles, CHArged Massive Particles (CHAMPs), self interacting dark matter, D-matter, cryptons, superweakly interacting dark matter, brane world dark matter, heavy fourth generation neutrinos, etc.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

Summary

• Don't know much about dark matter

- Different assumptions lead to different dark matter candidates
- Need more experimental data to rule out or verify specific candidates

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

Summary

- Don't know much about dark matter
- Different assumptions lead to different dark matter candidates
- Need more experimental data to rule out or verify specific candidates

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ 三回日 のQ@

Summary

- Don't know much about dark matter
- Different assumptions lead to different dark matter candidates
- Need more experimental data to rule out or verify specific candidates

References I

G. Bertone, D. Hopper, J. Silk Particle Dark Matter: Evidence Candidates and Constraints

arXiv:hep-ph/0404175v2

- T. Asaka, M. Shaposhkinov, A. Kusenko Opening a new window for warm dark matter arXiv:hep-ph/0602150v2
- H. Cheng, I. Low TeV Symmetry and the Little Hierarchy Problem arXiv:hep-ph/0308199v2